



Gaynor says: “Reagan paves the way for Illegals to vote”.

In 2004, Arizona passed Proposition 200, which required documentary proof of citizenship to register to vote. In 2013, the Supreme Court ruled that Arizona must accept the Federal form, but could also use its own form.

As a result, we had a two-track system of voter registration. If a person submitted a Federal form without documentary proof of citizenship, the person would be registered as a “FED-Only” voter and would receive a ballot that contained only Federal offices: President, Senator and Congressman. If a person submitted the State voter registration form without proof of citizenship, the form would be rejected and they could not vote in any elections.

As a result of this system, Arizona already has about 10,000 FED-only voters. However, large numbers of registrations without proof of citizenship have been rejected. According to Maricopa County Recorder Adrian Fontes, when he took office in 2016 he found 96,000 rejected voter registration forms.

In 2017, two liberal organizations sued Secretary of State Reagan to overturn Arizona law. Rather than fight, Reagan chose to settle the case, giving the plaintiffs everything they wanted. On June 4, 2018, she signed a consent decree overturning the Arizona law that required proof of citizenship to register to vote. Reagan called it “a big win”, and it is, if you want people without proof of citizenship to vote. That’s why The Arizona Republic called it “a clear victory for progressives”.

Under Reagan’s settlement, the State form will now function like the Federal form. A person who submits a State form without proof of citizenship will no longer have their application rejected as called for in A.R.S 16-166F, but instead will be registered as a FED-Only voter. It is likely this change will result in tens of thousands of additional undocumented voters. To put this in perspective, President Trump won Arizona by only 91,234 votes. Reagan’s settlement could easily turn Arizona from red to blue.

Many people have asked me why they have never heard about this case or Reagan’s settlement. Clearly, Reagan and the liberal press have no interest in publicizing it. If not for my focus on this issue, most Arizona voters would never have known about it.

Reagan has said publicly that she settled because the law was unconstitutional, but on page 3 paragraph 1 of the decree, she specifically asserts that our law is constitutional. It is her settlement that I believe is unconstitutional, as the executive branch does not have the power to overturn law. My lawyers have found case law that establishes good authority to challenge the consent decree. If I am elected, I will do everything in my power to overturn Reagan’s settlement and reestablish Arizona law.

Other pertinent documents:

The text of A.R.S. 16-166F

<https://www.azleg.gov/viewdocument/?docName=https://www.azleg.gov/ars/16/00166.htm>

Newspaper articles on the subject:

<https://www.westernjournal.com/challenger-charges-az-gop-sec-state-siding-dems-loosen-voter-id-requirements/>

<https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/elections/2018/07/06/candidate-steve-gaynor-hits-secretary-state-michele-reagan-over-settling-voter-registration-lawsuit/746565002/>

The original complaint:

<http://www.campaignlegalcenter.org/sites/default/files/lulacvreagancomplaint.pdf>

The consent decree:

http://www.campaignlegalcenter.org/sites/default/files/Joint%20Motion%20for%20Entry%20of%20Consent%20Decree_0.pdf

Press release by Secretary of State Michele Reagan:

<https://azsos.gov/about-office/media-center/press-releases/831>

Press release by Maricopa County Recorder Adrian Fontes:

<https://content.govdelivery.com/accounts/AZMARIC/bulletins/1f4f42e>